

Appl. No.: 09/911,247
Amdt. Dated: Jan. 21, 2005
Reply to Office action of 08/24/2004

Remarks/Arguments:

Inventor Stivers thanks Examiner Duong for his time explaining this matter to me.

Claims 1-2 and 10-11 remain in this application. Claims 3 and 4-9 have been withdrawn. Examiner has acknowledged that Claims 1-2 and 10-11 can stay together as a single patentable invention.

In view of the Examiner's election/restriction requirement, applicant retains the right to present Claims 3, and 4-9 in divisional/continuation-in-part application(s).....

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Sincerely,



Scotlund Stivers

Page 4 of 4.